

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313,1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/592,813 06/13/2000 Philip Piro 1920/106 7669 **EXAMINER** 7590 11/12/2003 **BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP** HARRY, ANDREW T 125 SUMMER STREET BOSTON, MA 02110-1618 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2686 DATE MAILED: 11/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------|-------------------|----------------|--|--------------|--|
| | ' Office Action Summers | | Application No. | | | Applicant(s) | |
| | | | 13 | | PIRO ET AL. | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examine | • | | Art Unit | | |
| | | Andrew T | | 1 4 24 4 | 2686 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 June 2003</u> . | | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3)[| / | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | closed in accordance with the practice un on of Claims | ider <i>Ex parte</i> G | <i>luayle</i> , 1 | 935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| |) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No | | 5) 🔲 1 | - | (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT | | |



· Application/Control Number: 09/592,813

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The Examiner acknowledges the receipt of the Applicant's amendment filed June 30, 2003. Claims 1, 8, and 15 (independent claims) have been amended and claims 1-20 are currently pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).



- Application/Control Number: 09/592,813

Art Unit: 2686

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Vice* U.S. Patent 6,064,872 ("*Vice*").

As pertaining to **claims 1, 8 and 15**, *Vice* teaches a field effect transistor (FET) mixer and method for mixing a periodic signal and an RF signal producing an IF signal (see *Vice*, abstract), comprising:

- a. a balun that includes a transformer having a primary winding and a secondary winding, the primary winding coupled to a radio frequency (RF) signal input;
- b. a pair of FETs, each transistor (switches) having a gate, a source, a drain ,and a channel between the source and the drain, wherein
- i. the gates of the transistors are coupled to one another and to a local oscillator input.
- ii. one of the source and the drain of a first of the two transistors is coupled at a node to one of the source and the drain of the other of the two transistors, and the node is coupled to ground,
- iii. the other of the source and the drain of the first of the two transistors is coupled to one side of the secondary winding of the balun and the other of the source and the drain of the second of the two transistors is coupled to the other side of the secondary winding of the balun;
- c. and an intermediate frequency (IF) signal output coupled to a point on the circuit path between the first and second transistors. See *Vice*, Figure 9.



- Application/Control Number: 09/592,813

Art Unit: 2686

As pertaining to claims 2, 9 and 16, in *Vice's* mixer, at the node, one of the source and the drain of the second of the two transistors is connected to ground, and the node is coupled to one of the source and drain of the first of the two transistors by a filter. See *Vice*, Figure 9.

As pertaining to **claims 3, 10, and 17**, in *Vice's* mixer the filter includes a capacitor serving as a shunt at the frequency of the RF signal but not at the frequency of the IF signal. See *Vice*, Figure 9.

As pertaining to **claim 4**, in *Vice's* mixer the local oscillator (LO) input is coupled to the gates via a capacitor so as to cause the transistors to be biased near pinch-off. See *Vice*, Figure 9.

As pertaining to **claims 5-6, 11-13, 18 and 20**, in *Vice's* mixer there exists a capacitance disposed across the balun secondary winding to tune the secondary winding, the capacitance including a pair of capacitors connected in series, wherein the node at a connection between the capacitors is coupled to ground. See *Vice*, Figure 9.

As pertaining to claims 7, 14, and 19, in *Vice's* mixer the secondary winding has a center tap, and the center tap is coupled to ground. See *Vice*, Figure 9.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period



· Application/Control Number: 09/592,813

Art Unit: 2686

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- B. Long, U.S. Patent 6,026,286, teaches an RF amplifier, RF mixer and RF receiver.
- C. Mourant et al., U.S. Patent 5,697,092, teaches a floating FET mixer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew T Harry whose telephone number is 703-305-4749. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on 703-305-4379. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

ATH

Maisha D Banks-Harold MARSHA D. BANKS-HAROLD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600